| 1 | | STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE |
|----|--------------|---|
| 2 | | PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | 2009 - 11:29 a.m. |
| 5 | Concord, New | Hampsnire |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | RE: | KEYSPAN AND NORTHERN UTILITIES, INC.: |
| 8 | | Gas and Electric Indirect Costs - Working Capital. |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | DDECEME. | Chairman Mhamar D. Cata Duaridina |
| 11 | PRESENT: | Commissioner Clifton C. Below |
| 12 | | Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius |
| 13 | | Sandy Deno, Clerk |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | APPEARANCES: | Reptg. EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. (d/b/a National Grid NH) and Granite State |
| 16 | | Electric Company (d/b/a National Grid): Thomas P. O'Neill, Esq. |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | Reptg. Northern Utilities, Inc., and Unitil Energy Systems, Inc.: |
| 19 | | Susan S. Geiger, Esq. (Orr & Reno) |
| 20 | | Reptg. PUC Staff: F. Anne Ross, Esq. |
| 21 | | Stephen Frink, Asst. Dir Gas & Water Div George McCluskey, Electric Division |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | Coi | urt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 |
| 24 | | - |

| 1 | | | |
|----|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------|
| 2 | | INDEX | |
| 3 | | | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | WITNESS PANEL: | MINDY ROSEN LAWRENCE BROCK | |
| 5 | | GEORGE McCLUSKEY | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | Direct examination | by Mr. O'Neill | 6 |
| 8 | Direct examination | by Ms. Geiger | 8 |
| 9 | Direct examination | by Ms. Ross | 13 |
| 10 | Interrogatories by | Cmsr. Ignatius | 15 |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | * * * | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | CLOSING STATEMENTS | BY: | PAGE NO. |
| 17 | | Ms. Ross | 16 |
| 18 | | Mr. Eaton | 17 |
| 19 | | Ms. Geiger | 17 |
| 20 | | Mr. O'Neill | 17 |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| | | {DG 07-072} {09-08-09} | |

| 1 | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|----------|
| 2 | | EXHIBITS | |
| 3 | EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | PAGE NO. |
| 4 | 3 | Settlement Agreement by and among | 8 |
| 5 | | Granite State Electric Company, EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc. and NH PUC Staff (07-24-09) | |
| 6 | 4 | Settlement Agreement by and among | 9 |
| 7 | | Unitil Energy Systems, Northern Utilities, Inc., and NH PUC Staff dated March 12. 2009 (03-24-09) | |
| | F | | 1.0 |
| 9 | 5 | Direct Testimony of Robert B. Hevert (08-29-08) | 12 |
| 10 11 | 6 | Supplemental Testimony of Robert B. Hevert (12-05-08) | 12 |
| 12 | 7 | Third Revised Direct Testimony and | 14 |
| 13 | , | Schedules of James A. Rothschild (11-14-08) | 11 |
| 14 | 8 | Rebuttal Testimony of James A. Rothschild (10-03-08) | 14 |
| 15 | | ROCHSCHILL (10-03-00) | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |

| 1 | PROCEEDING |
|----|--|
| 2 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Good morning. |
| 3 | We'll open the hearing in docket DG 07-072. On July 24, |
| 4 | 2009, the Company and the Staff submitted a Settlement |
| 5 | Agreement in this proceeding, and a secretarial letter was |
| 6 | issued on August 14th setting the hearing for today. I'll |
| 7 | note for the record that the hearing was originally |
| 8 | scheduled for 1:30, but it will be starting at 11:25 a.m. |
| 9 | Can we take appearances please. |
| 10 | MR. O'NEILL: Thomas O'Neill, Senior |
| 11 | Counsel, on behalf of EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc., $d/b/a$ |
| 12 | National Grid NH, and Granite State Electric Company, |
| 13 | doing business as National Grid. |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. |
| 15 | MS. GEIGER: Good morning. Susan |
| 16 | Geiger, from the law firm of Orr & Reno. I appear on |
| 17 | behalf of Unitil Energy Systems, Inc. and Northern |
| 18 | Utilities. And, with me here this morning on behalf of |
| 19 | both companies is Mr. Lawrence Brock. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. |
| 21 | MR. EATON: For Public Service Company |
| 22 | of New Hampshire, my name is Gerald M. Eaton. Good |
| 23 | morning. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. |
| | {DG 07-072} {09-08-09} |

| 1 | MS. ROSS: | Good morning, | Commissioners. |
|---|-----------|---------------|----------------|
| | | | |

- 2 Anne Ross, with Commission Staff. And, with me today is
- 3 George McCluskey, an analyst, and Steve Frink, the head of
- 4 the Gas Division.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning. And, I'll
- 6 also note for the record that, on August 12, the Office of
- 7 Consumer Advocate filed a letter indicating that it was
- 8 withdrawing from this docket.
- 9 So, how do the parties intend to
- 10 proceed?
- MS. ROSS: We would like to present the
- 12 Settlement with a panel. Staff will be presenting through
- 13 Mr. McCluskey.
- 14 MR. O'NEILL: And, National Grid will be
- 15 presenting Mindy Rosen.
- 16 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay.
- MS. GEIGER: And, on behalf of Unitil
- 18 Energy Systems, Inc. and Northern Utilities, Mr. Lawrence
- 19 Brock will be testifying.
- 20 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Then, please proceed.
- 21 (Whereupon Mindy Rosen, Lawrence Brock
- 22 and George McCluskey were duly sworn and
- 23 cautioned by the Court Reporter.)
- 24 MINDY ROSEN, SWORN

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

6

- 1 LAWRENCE BROCK, SWORN
- 2 GEORGE McCLUSKEY, SWORN
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 MR. O'NEILL: I can start.
- 5 BY MR. O'NEILL:
- 6 Q. Ms. Rosen, would you state your name for the record
- 7 please.
- 8 A. (Rosen) Mindy Rosen.
- 9 Q. And, by whom are you employed?
- 10 A. (Rosen) I am the lead analyst in the Gas Regulatory
- 11 Pricing Department for National Grid, representing
- 12 Granite State Electric and EnergyNorth.
- 13 Q. And, Ms. Rosen, if you would, could you just briefly
- 14 describe what the purpose of your testimony today is?
- 15 A. (Rosen) The purpose of the testimony is to support the
- 16 Settlement Agreement we've come to with Staff.
- 17 Q. And, you're familiar with the Settlement Agreement that
- was entered into dated July 24th, 2009?
- 19 A. (Rosen) Yes, I am.
- 20 Q. And, would you just briefly describe the issue that's
- 21 being settled in this case and what the provisions of
- the Settlement are?
- 23 A. (Rosen) The issue being settled in the case is the
- 24 carrying charge rate for cash working capital on gas

7
[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- supply costs for EnergyNorth and for Granite State
- 2 Electric. The Settlement is an agreement to use the
- 3 prime lending rate as reported by the Federal Reserve
- 4 to value that working capital cost. Granite State had
- been using that rate up until now, so this is just a
- 6 change for EnergyNorth. And, it is effective November
- 7 lst, 2008.
- 8 Q. And, if you would, with the change to the different
- 9 interest rate, would you just -- could you
- 10 approximately describe what the cost and the rate
- impact of that is for EnergyNorth?
- 12 A. (Rosen) Approximately, a half a million dollars on
- about a \$100 million worth of gas costs, it should be a
- 14 reduction to rates of less than 1 percent. And, this
- is a ballpark figure.
- 16 Q. And, that ballpark figure is based on what interest
- 17 rates?
- 18 A. (Rosen) It's based on a 3 percent rate of interest
- 19 versus a 10 percent working -- versus a 10 percent rate
- 20 for cost of capital.
- 21 Q. And, Ms. Rosen, the Company is prepared at this point
- to implement the Settlement effective as of November 1,
- 23 2008 for the purposes of resolving this docket?
- 24 A. (Rosen) Yes, we are.

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 MR. O'NEILL: Thank you. I have no more
- 2 questions. Commissioners, we've referenced a settlement
- document, and I don't know whether it's been marked for
- 4 identification at this point.
- 5 CHAIRMAN GETZ: What's the next exhibit
- 6 number?
- 7 MS. DENO: Three.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll mark the
- 9 Settlement for identification as "Exhibit Number 3".
- 10 (The document, as described, was
- 11 herewith marked as Exhibit 3 for
- identification.)
- MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.
- 14 BY MS. GEIGER:
- 15 Q. Mr. Brock, could you please state your name for the
- 16 record.
- 17 A. (Brock) My name is Lawrence Brock.
- 18 Q. And, could you please tell the Commission what your
- 19 position is.
- 20 A. (Brock) I am the Controller of Unitil Energy Systems,
- 21 Inc., and I am also the Controller of Northern
- Utilities, Inc. New Hampshire.
- 23 Q. And, what is the purpose of your testimony this
- 24 morning?

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

9

- 1 A. (Brock) The purpose of my testimony is to support the
- Settlement Agreement reached in this docket with Staff.
- 3 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a document that has a
- 4 cover letter appended to it, dated March 24th, 2009.
- 5 Could you please identify that document.
- 6 A. (Brock) That is the settlement document.
- 7 MS. GEIGER: Okay. Could we please have
- 8 this marked for identification as the next exhibit.
- 9 CHAIRMAN GETZ: It will be marked for
- 10 identification as "Exhibit Number 4".
- 11 (The document, as described, was
- 12 herewith marked as Exhibit 4 for
- identification.)
- MS. GEIGER: And, do all the
- 15 Commissioners have copies of the Settlement Agreement that
- was entered into by Unitil Energy Systems, Northern
- 17 Utilities, and Staff?
- 18 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes.
- MS. GEIGER: Okay. Thank you.
- 20 BY MS. GEIGER:
- 21 Q. Mr. Brock, could you please briefly explain for the
- 22 Commissioners the Settlement that you just identified
- and that was just marked as "Exhibit 4".
- 24 A. (Brock) Yes. The Company and Staff have agreed to

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 adjust the carrying charge rate for cash working
- 2 capital on gas supply costs for Northern. And, we also
- 3 agreed on an effective date for that change. The
- 4 effective date that we have agreed to is November 1st,
- 5 2008. And, we have agreed to change the carrying
- 6 charge rate from the existing rate of cost of capital
- 7 to a short-term debt rate, the prime rate.
- 8 Q. And, could you please explain to the Commissioners this
- 9 adjustment for Northern Utilities, as well as whether
- 10 it is, in fact, an adjustment for Unitil Energy
- 11 Systems?
- 12 A. (Brock) Unitil Energy Systems' existing practice is to
- 13 use the prime rate for this carrying charge. So, there
- 14 is no change to Unitil Energy Systems. It is a change
- 15 for Northern Utilities.
- 16 Q. And, Mr. Brock, similar to the calculation or the
- 17 estimate that Ms. Rosen just provided, have you made an
- 18 estimate as to the effect that this adjustment, this
- 19 rate adjustment would be with respect to either
- 20 Northern's revenues or the rates that its customers
- 21 would pay?
- 22 A. (Brock) The adjustment -- the adjustment will cause a
- rate reduction. I have not performed an estimate.
- 24 However, Ms. Rosen indicates that, on \$100 million of

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen | Brock | McCluskey]

- 1 gas costs, the impact could be up to a half a million
- 2 dollars. And, using Northern's winter period cost of
- 3 gas of between 20 and 30 million, it would be a ratable
- 4 impact on Northern's customers. It would be lower, but
- 5 it will still be a rate reduction.
- 6 Q. Mr. Brock, I'd like to turn your attention to Paragraph
- 7 3.6 in the Settlement Agreement that has been marked
- 6 for identification as "Exhibit 4".
- 9 A. (Brock) I have it.
- 10 Q. Do you have that?
- 11 A. (Brock) Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And, in that provision, there's a reference made
- to some prefiled testimony that the parties have agreed
- 14 to provide to the Commission as background information.
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. (Brock) Yes, I do.
- 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you two documents, and ask you
- 18 whether or not -- well, actually, first to identify
- 19 these two documents for the record.
- 20 A. (Brock) Yes. This first document is the prefiled
- 21 testimony of Mr. Hevert.
- 22 Q. And, the second document?
- 23 A. This is the supplemental testimony of Mr. Hevert.
- 24 Q. Thank you. And, these are the prefiled testimonies

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 that are referenced in Paragraph 3.6 of the Settlement
- 2 Agreement, correct?
- 3 A. (Brock) Yes.
- 4 MS. GEIGER: Okay. And, I've given
- 5 copies of these to the Clerk. I'd like to ask whether the
- 6 Commissioners also have copies of these prefiled
- 7 testimonies?
- 8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: We do.
- 9 MS. GEIGER: You do. Thank you. And,
- 10 so, I would ask that they be marked as the next two
- 11 exhibits. And, perhaps we could mark the August 29th,
- 12 2008 prefiled testimony of Mr. Hevert as "Exhibit 5",
- would that be correct?
- 14 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes.
- MS. GEIGER: And, then, the other
- document would be for identification "Exhibit 6".
- 17 CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, that would be the
- 18 -- so, Mr. Hevert's testimony would be Exhibit 5. And,
- 19 I'm sorry, which would be Exhibit 6?
- 20 MS. GEIGER: Exhibit 6 would be
- 21 Mr. Hevert's supplemental testimony dated December 5th.
- 22 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. So marked.
- 23 (The documents, as described, were
- 24 herewith marked as Exhibit 5 and

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 Exhibit 6, respectively, for
- identification.)
- MS. GEIGER: Thank you.
- 4 BY MS. GEIGER:
- 5 Q. And, Mr. Brock, do you have anything else to add with
- 6 respect to your position on the Settlement Agreement?
- 7 A. (Brock) No. I reiterate, the Company supports the
- 8 Settlement Agreement with Staff.
- 9 MS. GEIGER: Thank you. I have no
- 10 further questions.
- 11 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Ms. Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Thank you.
- 13 BY MS. ROSS:
- 14 Q. Mr. McCluskey, would you state your name and position
- for the record please.
- 16 A. (McCluskey) Yes. My name is George McCluskey. And,
- 17 I'm an analyst in the Electric Division of the New
- 18 Hampshire Commission.
- 19 MS. ROSS: And, before I begin with a
- 20 couple of questions for Mr. McCluskey, I have asked that
- 21 the Third Revised Direct Testimony and Schedules of James
- 22 A. Rothschild be marked as an exhibit for identification.
- 23 That was dated November 14th, 2008.
- 24 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. We'll mark that

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 as "Exhibit Number 7".
- 2 (The document, as described, was
- 3 herewith marked as Exhibit 7 for
- 4 identification.)
- 5 MS. ROSS: And, that Mr. Rothschild's
- 6 supplemental -- I'm sorry, rebuttal testimony of
- 7 October 3rd, 2008 also be marked for identification.
- 8 CHAIRMAN GETZ: That will be marked as
- 9 "Exhibit Number 8".
- 10 (The document, as described, was
- 11 herewith marked as Exhibit 8 for
- identification.)
- MS. ROSS: Okay.
- 14 BY MS. ROSS:
- 15 Q. And, Mr. McCluskey, could you briefly explain why Staff
- supports the Settlement Agreement?
- 17 A. (McCluskey) The Settlement Agreement is the result of
- 18 negotiations between the parties. The outcome, the
- 19 prime rate, falls within the interval of the positions
- 20 argued by the parties. Staff argued for the short-term
- 21 debt rate; the utilities argued for the overall cost of
- 22 capital. And, the prime rate is within that interval.
- 23 And, we think it's, for that reason, it's a reasonable
- 24 outcome.

[WITNESS PANEL: Rosen|Brock|McCluskey]

- 1 MS. ROSS: Thank you. I have no further
- 2 questions.
- 3 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Mr. Eaton, do you have
- 4 any questions for the witnesses?
- 5 MR. EATON: No, I don't. Thank you,
- 6 your Honor.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Commissioner Below?
- 8 Commissioner Ignatius?
- 9 CMSR. IGNATIUS: I do have a question
- 10 for Mr. McCluskey.
- 11 BY CMSR. IGNATIUS:
- 12 Q. The Settlement Agreement refers to "two phases" of this
- 13 docket. And, as one coming into the case towards the
- 14 end, without excruciating detail, can you tell me where
- 15 those two phases -- where it now stands? This is --
- 16 I'm looking at the Settlement Agreement, 1.2,
- 17 describing the docket being "divided into two phases"
- 18 and "the Commission deferring consideration of the
- 19 scope of the second phase, including the effective date
- of any new methodology, to the conclusion of the
- 21 initial phase." Are we now, with the Settlement
- 22 Agreement, concluding the initial phase?
- 23 A. (McCluskey) No. The intent of this Settlement is to
- resolve all of the issues in this proceeding. So,

| | [WITNESS PANEL: Rosen Brock McCluskey] |
|----|---|
| 1 | we've established what the rate or the mechanism, the |
| 2 | rate can change from time to time, as the prime rate |
| 3 | does on a regular basis. And, so, we've essentially |
| 4 | resolved all of the issues in the case with this |
| 5 | Settlement Agreement. |
| 6 | CMSR. IGNATIUS: Thank you. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Is there anything |
| 8 | further for the witnesses? |
| 9 | (No verbal response) |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then |
| 11 | you're excused. Thank you very much. |
| 12 | Is there any objection to striking |
| 13 | identifications and admitting the exhibits into evidence? |
| 14 | (No verbal response) |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing no objection, |
| 16 | they will be admitted into evidence. I'll provide an |
| 17 | opportunity for closing statements. We'll begin with |
| 18 | Ms. Ross. |
| 19 | MS. ROSS: Thank you. Staff supports |
| 20 | the Settlement. The Settlement makes the practice of |
| 21 | using the prime rate consistent across both the electric |
| 22 | and gas companies for their supply-related working cash |
| 23 | working capital. And, we believe it's a fair compromise. |
| 24 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. Eaton, |

do you have anything for a closing?

| 2 | MR. EATON: No. I think I have said |
|----|--|
| 3 | before, perhaps for Commissioner Ignatius's benefit, |
| 4 | Public Service Company handles its fuel and supply in a |
| 5 | different manner. But we have been involved in this case |
| 6 | and watching it, and we believe the result is reasonable. |
| 7 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ms. Geiger. |
| 8 | MS. GEIGER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. |
| 9 | UES and Northern would ask that the Commission approve the |
| 10 | Settlement Agreement. And, we would concur with |
| 11 | Ms. Ross's statement. Thank you. |
| 12 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: And, Mr. O'Neill. |
| 13 | MR. O'NEILL: Similarly, National Grid |
| 14 | would ask the Commission to approve the Settlement. And, |
| 15 | we likewise agree with Ms. Ross's statement. |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. Then, |
| 17 | we'll close the hearing and take the matters under |
| 18 | advisement. |
| 19 | (Whereupon the hearing ended at 11:45 |
| 20 | a.m.) |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |